



USHCA TEACHER ABC TOOL

District Name

Date

Purpose

The Teacher Assess, Breakthrough, and Change (ABC) Tool defines the key levers and metrics for HR's strategic work related to improving teacher quality. The HR team can clearly see the metrics for the key work as well as diagnose their level of implementation of the work along a continuum in order to achieve benchmark results.

Intended User(s)

HR/HC Chief, HR/HC Team Leads

| COMPREHENSIVE FUNCTION AND KEY LEVERS | | ASSESSMENTS | | | METRICS AND BENCHMARKS | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| I. | Teacher Preparation & Recruitment | Minimally Functional | Moderately Functional | Highly Functional | Metrics | Benchmark Metric(s) |
| A. | Influencing Traditional and Alternative Preparation Providers | Rarely engages Providers to meet the hiring needs of the district | Notifies Providers that graduates are being tracked but does not provide feedback on graduates | Tracks number and quality of applicants and hires from Providers and meets annually to provide feedback on supply and demand issues and effectiveness of graduates | The number of applicants and hires, by subject and by Provider, that meet screening and effectiveness criteria | 100% of Providers receive feedback about their graduates |
| B. | Maximizing Student Teachers | Provides student teaching opportunities but does not track student teachers to determine quality candidates or offer early contracts | Provides student teaching opportunities and monitors for high performing student teachers; Controls the assignment of student teachers to only effective mentor teachers | Carefully tracks student teachers and offers positions, including early contracts, to high performers; Controls the assignment of student teachers to only effective mentor teachers | 1. The number of high-performing student teachers that are given offers, including early contracts; 2. Percentage of student teachers assigned to effective mentor teachers | 1. 100% of high-performing student teachers are given offers - including early contracts - when vacancies are available; 2. 100% of student teachers assigned to effective mentor teachers |
| C. | Recruiting from Multiple Preparation Pathways (Traditional and Alternative Providers) | Accepts applications but does not actively recruit | Recruits from multiple pathways but does not monitor quantity or quality of applicants | Recruits aggressively from traditional and alternative pathways including use of social media and monitors the quality of new hires through multiple measures | 1. Applicants per vacancy by subject and Provider; 2. Percentage of high quality candidates from each Provider | 1. Ratio of 10 applicants for every vacancy; 5 applicants for every vacancy in a shortage field; 2. 90% of candidates from each Provider meet screening criteria |
| D. | Increasing Diversity in the Applicant Pool | Has limited strategies to increase diversity in the applicant pool | Has multiple strategies to expand diversity in the applicant pool | Has multiple strategies to expand diversity and tracks the new hires' diversity towards a specific improvement goal | Number and percentage of diverse candidates meeting the screening criteria by Provider | Improves diversity of new hires every year by 10% to mirror student population |
| E. | Providing Online Access to Vacancies and Applicants | Applicants and Principals have paper, but not online access, to vacancies and resumes | Applicants and principals have online access but it is limited to specific times or by going through laborious processes | Candidates and principals have 24/7 online access and ability to sort by high potential applicants and vacancies | Percentage of applicants and principals satisfied with tracking system | 80% or more of applicants and Principals respond positively to survey questions on satisfaction |
| F. | Screening for a High Quality Applicant Pool | Screens applicants for compliance only (background check, certification, etc.) | Screens applicants using some quality indicators (GPA, student teaching observation, validated interviewing instrument score, etc.) but does not lead Principals to applicants based on screening | Uses clearly defined screening criteria that has been correlated to highly effective teacher performance in the district and highlights top performers to Principals | Percentage of applicants who met the criteria are referred to and interviewed by Principals | 100% of candidates who meet high quality criteria are referred to Principals for consideration |
| G. | Projecting Future Recruitment Needs | Estimates future needs without data | Projects future needs with limited, basic data | Projects future needs by analyzing complete data, including number and type of vacancies, individual school needs and principal projections, diversity of applicants, etc. and then adapting recruitment strategies based on projections | Percentage of accuracy of vacancy projections | Projections of recruitment needs are within 5% accuracy of actual vacancies |

| COMPREHENSIVE FUNCTION AND KEY LEVERS | | ASSESSMENTS | | | METRICS AND BENCHMARKS | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| II. | Hiring & Selection | Minimally Functional | Moderately Functional | Highly Functional | Metrics | Benchmark Metric |
| A. | Developing a Hiring Timeline | Creates annual staffing calendar for internal HR staff only | Publishes an annual staffing calendar with all significant timelines and deadlines for applicants and principals | Publishes and utilizes an annual staffing calendar that drive the recruitment, hiring, staffing, and deployment work of the district | Number and percentage of vacancies filled by May 1, July 1, August 1, and after opening of school | 100% of early contracts completed in critical shortage fields by May 1; 30% of vacancies filled by May 1; 60% of vacancies filled by July 1; 100% of vacancies filled by the start of school |
| B. | Requiring Notification for Resignations and Retirements | Requires notification for resignations and retirements but does not have requirements and/or offer incentives/consequences for (non)compliance | Requires notification for resignations and retirements, establishes requirements, incentives and/or consequences for late notification but does not follow through on those requirements | Provides early notification incentives for resignations and retirements; Ensures enforcement of deadlines with consequences for late resignations/retirements | Number and percentage of vacancies due to late (after deadline) resignations and retirements | Zero (0) vacancies due to late resignations or retirements |
| C. | Aligning Hiring with Instructional and Strategic Initiatives | Does not have structures in place to seek information on instructional and strategic initiatives | Seeks information on instructional and strategic initiatives but does not adjust staffing needs accordingly | Collaborates with Curriculum and Instruction and other divisions on initiatives to ensure appropriate projections and alignment to hiring | Percentage satisfied with HR's services on hiring from divisions served | 90% or higher satisfaction with HR's services on hiring from divisions served |
| D. | Hiring Early to Ensure Best Quality in Shortage Fields | Recruits but does not offer early contracts (by May 1) in shortage fields | Offers some early contracts but still has vacancies in shortage fields at school opening | Completes early contracts in critical shortage areas by May 1 and opens schools with no shortages in critical fields | Number of vacancies in critical shortage fields at opening of school | Zero (0) vacancies at opening of school in critical shortage areas |
| E. | Matching Best Applicants to Vacancies | Processes new hires - does not provide support to Principals in finding high quality candidates | Provides applicant pool to Principals but does not tailor matches to school needs | Co-owns vacancies with Principals, understands individual school's needs and works to supply best matches from applicant pool | Percentage of Principals satisfied with quality of staffing services received and quality of applicant pool to match candidates to vacancies | 90% positive results on annual Principals' survey re: staffing services for their school and the quality of the applicant pool |
| F. | Developing Principals' Skills in Hiring and Selection Best Practices | Provides limited information for Principals that can help them hire and select the best candidates | Offers Principals information on candidates but provides Principals with little or no training in interviewing and selection | Provides accessible information on applicant quality and develops Principals' and school selection teams' skills in best practices in interviewing and selection | Percentage of Principals and Principal Supervisors satisfied with support provided by HR in interviewing and selection | 90% of Principals and Principal Supervisors satisfied with support provided by HR in interviewing and selection |
| G. | Monitoring and Supporting Staffing at High-Needs Schools | Treats all schools the same in regards to staffing | Monitors staffing at high-needs schools but does not provide incentives for candidates to select high-needs schools | Monitors applicant quality and quantity for high-needs schools and differentiates strategies to ensure every teacher is high quality | Percentage of Principals satisfied with applicant pool and support provided at high-needs schools | 90% of Principals at high-needs schools satisfied with applicant pool and support |
| H. | Monitoring and Supporting for Diversity in All Schools | Monitors diversity staffing as a compliance function | Has limited strategies to increase diversity in all schools | Supports all Principals with multiple strategies to increase diversity | Percentage of Principals satisfied with quality and quantity of diverse candidates | 90% or Principals satisfied with quality and quantity of diverse candidates |
| I. | On-Boarding New Hires | Has informal on-boarding with paper-driven processes | Has formal on-boarding process without being time-sensitive | Ensures candidates are processed within 72 hours online | Percentage of new candidates processed within 72 hours | 100% processed within 72 hours online |

| COMPREHENSIVE FUNCTION AND KEY LEVERS | | ASSESSMENTS | | | METRICS AND BENCHMARKS | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| III. | Induction & New Teacher Assignment | Minimally Functional | Moderately Functional | Highly Functional | Metrics | Benchmark Metric(s) |
| A. | Inducting New Teachers | Offers a one-time new teacher orientation | Provides at least a year-long mentoring program where mentor selection, training, and assignment is unstructured; provides no criteria for mentor selection | Provides a robust mentoring program with trained mentors and ensures new teachers are assigned mentors in their subject and/or grade level; Ensures only effective teachers are selected for mentoring roles | 1. Number and percentage of new teachers assigned a mentor by the opening of school; 2. Percentage of new teachers satisfied with the quality of mentoring received | 1. 100% of new teachers assigned a mentor by the opening of school; 2. 90% or higher positive response by new teachers on the quality of mentoring received |
| B. | Assigning New Teachers | Recommends assignments based on certification compliance only | Discusses new teacher assignments with Principals but does not influence assignment decisions | Assists Principals in determining best match for new hires | Percentage of Principals satisfied with support provided for assignment | 100% satisfaction with support provided for assignment |
| C. | Tracking New Teacher Retention | Tracks new teacher retention but takes no action | Tracks new teacher retention with a "one-size-fits-all" approach to retention | Actively engages with Principals on multiple retention strategies for new teachers | Percentage of new teachers retained by effectiveness level in Years 1, 2, and 3 | 90% of retention of effective new teachers |
| D. | Aligning New Teacher Training with Performance Standards | Does some analysis of new teacher performance on standards but does not use the information to inform professional development offerings | Analyzes new teacher performance and offers generalized professional development for new teachers determined by central office | Analyzes new teacher performance against standards and rubrics and collaborates with providers of new teacher training to align training with performance needs and Principals' assessments | 1. Percentage of teachers satisfied with professional development aligned to their performance needs; 2. Collective feedback from mentors on new teacher quality | 90% or greater of new teachers believe the professional development received is aligned to their performance needs |
| E. | Influencing New Teacher Support at the School Level | Checks on new teachers without any systems or structures | Monitors new teachers using a standard monitoring process | Deliberately monitors new teachers' performance and influences the level of support provided through systems and structures | Percentage of Principals satisfied with level of support provided | 90% of principals satisfied with level of support provided |

| COMPREHENSIVE FUNCTION AND KEY LEVERS | | ASSESSMENTS | | | METRICS AND BENCHMARKS | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| IV. | Staffing & Deployment | Minimally Functional | Moderately Functional | Highly Functional | Metrics | Benchmark Metric(s) |
| A. | Structuring Systems for Mutual Consent | Allows teachers to select vacancies without Principal input and surplus teachers placed without regard to best matches | Provides opportunities for mutual consent early in the process but utilizes forced placement later | Structures systems and processes that foster mutual consent as a foundation for selection and works to change collective bargaining agreement that blocks mutual consent practices | Number of transfers completed through mutual consent | 100% of transfers completed through mutual consent |
| B. | Limiting Transfer Periods | Allows transfers to occur at any time, including during the school year | Sets timelines for transfers but allows them to occur within one month of the start of school | Sets calendar and guidelines for voluntary transfer periods that do not impact students or create last-minute vacancies | Number of transfers or placements that occur in the month prior to school opening | 100% of transfers and placements completed prior to one month before the opening of school |
| C. | Staffing High-Needs Schools with Great Talent | Monitors vacancies at high-needs schools but provides no incentives for experienced, effective teachers to seek assignments at those schools | Provides incentives for new hires to take assignments at high-needs schools but no transfer incentives | Works to ensure equity between high- and low-needs schools by providing incentives and opportunities for highly experienced, effective teachers and quality applicants to seek high-needs schools | 1. Distribution of teachers by performance rating and school need type 2. Percentage of incoming and outgoing transfers by performance rating and school need type 3. Percentage of new teachers in high-needs schools | 1. 100% effective and highly effective teachers in high-needs schools 2. 90% retention rate of effective teachers at high-needs schools 3. Fewer than 20% of teachers in a high-needs school are brand new teachers |
| D. | Placing Surplus Teachers Effectively When Necessary for Budgetary Reasons | Places all surplus teachers without regard to Principal input, differentiation, or mutual consent | Places all surplus teachers through a process that only has some of the components of best practices | Places all surplus teachers through an established process that involves principals and principal supervisors, has mutual consent, and shelters high-needs schools from forced placement | 1. Number and % of surplus teachers placed at high-needs schools. 2. Percentage of Principals satisfied with the placement process | 1. 100% of transfers completed through mutual consent at high-needs schools 2. 90% Principal satisfaction with the placement process |
| E. | Redesigning Layoff and Recall Policies | Implements a lay-off and recall policy of teaching staff that uses only seniority as a criteria | Implements a lay-off and recall policy that includes seniority and other criteria to determine displaced teachers | Implements lay-off and recall policies that focus on performance criteria first | Percentage of layoffs and recalls based on performance | 100% of layoffs and recalls based on performance |

| COMPREHENSIVE FUNCTION AND KEY LEVERS | | ASSESSMENTS | | | METRICS AND BENCHMARKS | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| V. | Compensation & Benefits | Minimally Functional | Moderately Functional | Highly Functional | Metrics | Benchmark Metric(s) |
| A. | Comparing Compensation with Surrounding Districts | Informally compares compensation and benefits to surrounding/competing districts, but does not adjust compensation and benefits to keep competitive | Keeps competitive with surrounding districts at entry but does not keep competitive for effective teachers over time | Keeps highly competitive with surrounding and competing districts' salary and benefit structures, and keeps competitive for effective teachers over time | Comparative cost and parity of benefits with competing districts at entry and for effective teachers over time | Salary scale stays within 10% of competitors' starting salary and salary over time |
| B. | Structuring Compensation Systems Based on Performance | Continues with a lock-step salary scale based on years of service and degrees regardless of performance | Continues with a lock-step salary scale based on years of service and degrees and provides teachers an annual bonus for improved student achievement results | Bases compensation on performance, including improved student achievement results; freezes salaries of low-performing teachers; fast-tracks salary increases for high-performing newer teachers | Percentage of teachers - by performance level - identifying compensation as a primary reason for leaving | Fewer than 10% of high-performing teachers indicate compensation as primary reason for leaving |
| C. | Structuring Compensation Systems to Expand the Reach of High-Performing Teachers | Provides only non-monetary compensation, e.g., reduced courseload or additional planning time, for high-performing teachers to take on additional roles and responsibilities | Provides financial incentives for high-performing teachers to take on additional roles and responsibilities; Funds incentives through a non-sustainable source, such as grants | Provides multiple levels of financial incentives for high-performing teachers to take on varied additional roles and responsibilities; Funds incentives sustainably through existing budget | Percentage of high performing teachers in differentiated roles who are satisfied with compensation for additional roles and responsibilities | 90% of high performing teachers in differentiated roles are satisfied with compensation for additional roles and responsibilities |
| D. | Structuring Compensation Systems to Incentivize Teaching in High-Needs Schools and Subjects | Provides only non-monetary compensation, e.g., reduced courseload or additional planning time, to teach in a high-needs school or subject | Offers financial incentives to every teacher in a high-needs school or subject | Makes financial incentives in high-needs schools and subjects contingent on performance; Reviews high-needs schools and subjects annually and adjusts teacher pay accordingly | Percentage of teachers - by performance level - in high-needs schools and subjects identifying compensation as a primary reason for leaving or transferring | Fewer than 10% of high-performing teachers in high-needs schools and subjects indicate compensation as a primary reason for leaving or transferring |
| E. | Individualizing Benefits Systems | Continues a one-size benefits package for all employee groups | Has limited choices for employee groups and provides some flexibility in selection | Works with Finance/Budget to restructure fixed benefits to cafeteria benefits, allows for individual tailoring of benefit plans, provides a stipend or refund when no benefits are selected by employee | Percentage of employees satisfied with benefits | 90% or more of employees satisfied with benefits |
| F. | Providing and Monitoring Leave as a Benefit Locally or Through State | Provides leave as a benefit but does not monitor or adjust this benefit based on use of leave data; does not influence state when leave is provided through state systems | Provides leave as a benefit and tracks associated costs but does not alter this benefit based on use of leave data and does not influence state on leave issues | Structures leave use with incentives for low use and penalties for high use; Collaborates with State to alter benefit provisions where needed; Analyzes leave use and absenteeism at high and low-needs schools | 1. Percentage of leave use by type of leave 2. Comparison of leave use and absenteeism between high- and low-needs schools | 1. Leave use does not exceed 4% for sick and personal leave; 2. Less than 10% difference between teacher absenteeism at high- and low-needs schools |

| COMPREHENSIVE FUNCTION AND KEY LEVERS | | ASSESSMENTS | | | METRICS AND BENCHMARKS | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| VI. | Performance Management | Minimally Functional | Moderately Functional | Highly Functional | Metrics | Benchmark Metric(s) |
| A. | Using Multiple Measures in Teacher Evaluation System | Implements evaluation processes but does not track or incorporate multiple measures that include student achievement results | Using multiple measures in teacher evaluation system but student achievement results not included as one of the measures | Incorporates multiple measures in teacher evaluations, includes student achievement and student survey results, and expands those results beyond standardized tests to include evidence of student learning for all teachers | % of schools fully utilizing teacher evaluation system that incorporates multiple measures | 100% of schools fully utilizing teacher evaluation system that incorporates multiple measures |
| B. | Tracking New Teacher Performance and Granting Tenure | Tracks new teacher performance but does not consistently use data to inform hiring, development, or tenure decisions | Tracks new hire performance and uses data consistently to inform central office efforts of which Providers to hire from, to whom to provide additional support, and to whom to grant tenure | Tracks new hire performance and shares data annually with key stakeholders at the central- and school-levels, such as principals, to inform which Providers to hire from, to whom to provide additional support, and to whom to grant tenure. Requires principals to make affirmative tenure decisions using performance data | 1. Percentage of new teachers - by Provider - with effective or higher ratings at the end of Years 1, 2, and 3 2. Percentage of probationary teachers non-renewed for low performance prior to being granted tenure | 1. At least 90% of new teachers from all Providers with effective or higher ratings by the end of Year 3 2. 100% of probationary teachers non-renewed for low performance prior to being granted tenure |
| C. | Providing Teachers Regular and Valuable Feedback on Performance | Provides minimal feedback to teachers through minimal and limited observations | Provides feedback from multiple sources but feedback is limited to only a few times a year and does not include analysis of student achievement results | Provides timely and valuable feedback from multiple sources such as observation from both supervisors and peers, student achievement results, and parent and student survey results | Percentage of teachers satisfied with the value of feedback received from evaluation process for improving their practice | 90% or above positive responses from teachers regarding the value of feedback received from evaluation process for improving their practice |
| D. | Negotiating Labor Contracts that Address Low Performers | Provides clear language in contract to address low performers but still having difficulty exiting low performers in practice | Provides and implements clear and consistent processes that exit low performers who do not improve to effective ratings | Provides clear and reasonable process that exit low performers who do not improve to effective ratings through a highly collaborative process | Percentage of labor and management satisfied with process for addressing low performers | 100% satisfaction of labor and management with process for addressing low performers |
| E. | Providing Principals Guidance on Low Performers | Provides contractual or legal guidance to Principals dealing with low performing teachers when support is requested by the Principal | Offers Principals dedicated support for dealing with low performers but does not address processes and requirements that make it difficult to terminate | Proactively provides templates, documentation support, and dedicated legal assistance to Principals addressing low performers; Streamlines processes to make it possible to terminate low performers | 1. Percentage of principals satisfied with HR's support; 2. Number and percentage of tenured teachers who either improve to effective ratings or are exited | 1. 90% of principals satisfied with HR's support; 2. 100% of low performing tenured teachers either improve to effective ratings or are exited |
| F. | Incorporating Attendance into Overall Performance | Monitors teacher absenteeism and leave use overall but does not address as an issue of performance | Monitors teacher absenteeism and leave use, implements some strategies to decrease, but does not proactively intervene with cases that are excessive | Continually monitors absenteeism and leave use, incorporates attendance into overall performance and assists Principals to intervene when sick and personal leave use is excessive | 1. Average number of absences by category compared to national averages and benchmark goals; 2. Percentage of teachers excessively absent that are improved or exited | 1. Teacher absences no greater than 4% in any category; 2. 100% of teachers excessively absent are either improved or exited |

| COMPREHENSIVE FUNCTION AND KEY LEVERS | | ASSESSMENTS | | | METRICS AND BENCHMARKS | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| VII. | Linking Professional Development to HR/HC Functions | Minimally Functional | Moderately Functional | Highly Functional | Metrics | Benchmark Metric(s) |
| A. | Influencing How Professional Development is Linked to Individual Performance, with a focus on New and Low-performing Teachers | Offers Professional Development systemwide with general pull-out training that is not linked to individual needs | Offers some individually-based development but does not capture or analyze the development systemwide | Ensures systems are in place that provides development that is done in connection with teacher observation results and reflects the needs of the new or low-performing teacher | Percentage of PD plans for low-performing and new teachers that have performance linked to PD | 100% of Professional Development for low performers and new teachers is linked to individual, team, or systemwide performance needs |
| B. | Decoupling Professional Development from Compensation and Reimbursement | Provides salary scale that offers additional compensation for degrees beyond a BA and additional PD/ coursework | Provides salary scale that takes into account performance, but still awards compensation for completion of PD and additional coursework or degrees; Some differentiated pay exists that is performance-based | Provides salary scale where compensation and rewards are linked to performance and differentiated roles based on performance; All pay decoupled from completion of PD and additional coursework or degrees | Percentage of total compensation given for additional courses, additional degrees, or professional development completion | 0% of compensation is awarded for additional courses, additional degrees, or professional development completion |
| C. | Monitoring Leave Use for Professional Development | Provides limited school-based or systemwide monitoring to determine how many times individual teachers are pulled from the classroom for professional development activities | Tracks substitute costs and percentage for PD use but does not work to limit pull out PD when students are in classes | Carefully tracks use of substitute teachers for pull-out PD and limits the use of absences for PD purposes | Number of days teachers miss classes to attend professional development activities | Individual teachers are released from the classroom for no more than 2 days per year |

| COMPREHENSIVE FUNCTION AND KEY LEVERS | | ASSESSMENTS | | | METRICS AND BENCHMARKS | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| VIII. | Career Management | Minimally Functional | Moderately Functional | Highly Functional | Metrics | Benchmark Metric(s) |
| A. | Providing Career Paths for Highly Effective Teachers | Provides some differentiated roles for teachers such as mentor or coach | Offers multiple differentiated roles for teachers but does not require the roles be offered to highly effective teachers | Creates multiple differentiated roles , tracks and ensures highly effective teachers are recruited for those roles | Number of highly effective teachers in differentiated roles | 100% of differentiated roles are filled by highly effective teachers |
| B. | Recruiting Highly Effective Teachers for Differentiated Roles in High-Needs Schools | Recruits highly effective teachers for differentiated roles but does not track or influence where those roles are located | Recruits highly effective teachers for differentiated roles, tracks but does not influence where those roles are located | Actively recruits highly effective teachers to seek differentiated roles and prioritize the selection of these teachers at high-needs schools | Number of highly effective teachers entering pool for consideration for differentiated roles by school need and subject area | 100% of differentiated roles are made available at high-needs schools first and 100% are filled with highly effective teachers |
| C. | Retaining Highly Effective Teachers | Creates a retention plan but does not base the plan on teacher performance | Creates a retention plan based on teacher performance criteria | Creates individualized retention plans utilizing multiple incentives and career opportunities for highly effective teachers overall with specific targets for high-needs schools and critical shortage fields | Percentage of effective and highly effective teachers retained by high/low-needs schools and by subject area | 90% retention of effective and highly effective teachers with comparisons between high- and low-needs schools and by subject area |

| COMPREHENSIVE FUNCTION AND KEY LEVERS | | ASSESSMENTS | | | METRICS AND BENCHMARKS | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| IX. | Principals as Human Capital Managers | Minimally Functional | Moderately Functional | Highly Functional | Metrics | Benchmark Metric(s) |
| A. | Developing Principals as HC Managers | Trains and develops Principals in some, but not all, aspects of Human Capital Management | Provides training and support for Human Capital Management but does not seek input from the Principals to address their needs | Offers ongoing learning opportunities and direct support to Principals/ leadership team on best practices in interviewing, hiring, staffing, absence management and other aspects of HC management, based on data and input | Percentage of Principals satisfied with HC management learning opportunities and overall satisfaction with HR services | 90% or higher satisfaction of Principals with learning opportunities and overall satisfaction with HR services |
| B. | Providing Data to Support Principals' HC Management | Collects data and information but does not analyze or utilize the data to assist Principals in decision-making | Provides Principals with data and helps them analyze information related to turnover, absenteeism, best applicants, etc. in order inform Principals' decisions | Provides comprehensive data and dashboards on staff to Principals and assists them in analyzing that data and in making informed decisions | Percentage of Principals satisfied with data support for HC management | 90% or higher satisfaction of Principals about HR data and analysis support |
| C. | Working with Principal Supervisors to Support School-Level HC Management | Provides HC service to schools but not linked to the work of the Principal Supervisor | Provides data to Principal Supervisors but does not influence or support work to make changes | Collaborates and supports Principal Supervisors with Human Capital Management in their grouping of schools | Percentage of Principal Supervisors satisfied with HR's support for HC management in their schools | 90% or higher satisfaction of Principal Supervisors with HR's support for HC management in their schools |
| D. | Targeting Support to Principals of High-Needs Schools | Provides equal support to Principals regardless of need | Occasionally differentiates support to Principals of high-needs schools but not consistently and proactively | Targets intensive and differentiated support to Principals of high-needs schools | Percentage of Principals at high-needs schools satisfied with the level of support received from HR | 100% of Principals at high-needs schools satisfied with HR support |